

CITY OF OLD TOWN PLANNING BOARD
Solid Waste Facilities Permit Application
Decision Form

I. Introduction

Applicant(s): NEWSME Landfill Operations, LLC (“NEWSME”) and State of Maine, Bureau of General Services (“BGS”).

Name of Solid Waste Facility: Juniper Ridge Landfill (“JRL”), (the “Solid Waste Facility” or the “Facility”).

Address of Solid Waste Facility: 2828 Bennoch Hill Road, Old Town.

Date of Application: June 8, 2017.

Date Application found complete for processing by Code Enforcement Officer (“CEO”): June 21, 2017.

Date of Planning Board meetings on Application:

Preliminary Meeting: July 11, 2017.

Site Visit: July 20, 2017.

Workshop(s): August 8., 2017.

Public Hearing(s): September 12, 2017.

Deliberations: October 10, 2017.

Date Maine Dept. of Environmental Protection License (the “MDEP License”) for Expansion of Solid Waste Facility issued: June 1, 2017.

Notice of Planning Board meetings:

Notice of the July 11, 2017 Preliminary Meeting of the Planning Board to review the Application was published on June 29, 2017, in the *Bangor Daily News* and on June 29, 2017, in the *Penobscot Times*, and was mailed on June 23, 2017, to the City Manager, Board of Selectmen, Town of Alton, Penobscot Indian Nation, Joint Citizen Advisory Committee, Solid Waste Review Committee, and the Abutters listed in Appendix A.

Notice of all other meetings of the Planning Board to review the Application were published on July 27, 2017, in the *Bangor Daily News* and on July 27, August 31, October 5, 2017, in the *Penobscot Times*, posted in the City Hall at least five days prior to each meeting,

and was mailed on August 31, September 7, October 5, 2017, to the City Manager, Board of Selectmen, Town of Alton, Penobscot Indian Nation, Joint Citizen Advisory Committee, Solid Waste Review Committee, and the Abutters listed in Appendix A.

Documents submitted to the Planning Board:

Application of NEWSME and BGS , June 1, 2017;

Memorandum from James N. Katsiaficas to Planning Board, June 19, 2017;

Letter from David Russell to Planning Board, June 21 2017 (completeness determination);

Letter from Michael Booth to David Russell, August 2, 2017 re BGS Disclosure Statement;

Letter from Toni King to Kathy Tarbuck, August 11, 2017 re financial assurance;

Letter from Ed Spencer to Planning Board, August 11, 2017 with Questions;

Memorandum from James N. Katsiaficas to Planning Board, August 22, 2017;

Letter from Ed Spencer to David Russell, August 25, 2017 re Major Opponent status;

Letter from Thomas R. Doyle, Esq. to David Russell, August 28, 2017 re Major Proponent status;

Copy of Spencer Prefiled Testimony to DEP, July 29, 2016 (submitted August 29, 2017);

Edward Spencer “Concerns for Old Town Planning Board” (submitted August 29, 2017);

Email from Thomas R. Doyle, Esq. to David Russell, September 7, 2017 re BGS Disclosure;

Letter from Thomas R. Doyle, Esq. to David Russell, September 12, 2017 re Request to Strike;

Email from Ed Spencer to David Russell, September 12, 2017 re Request to Strike;

Email from Jeremy Labbe to David Russell, September 13, 2017 re Wastewater Treatment Plant Permit; and

Email from Ed Spencer to David Russell, September 26, 2017 re Wastewater Treatment Plant Permit.

Definitions. Except as defined in this Permit, capitalized terms used in this Permit have the meanings given them in Chapter 24 of the City of Old Town (“City”) Code of Ordinances (“Chapter 24” or the “Ordinance”) or in State statute, rules or regulation.

II. Findings of Fact and Conclusions of Law

The Applicants have submitted an application (the “Application”) under the Ordinance for a 9.35 million cubic yard addition to the Solid Waste Facility (the “Expansion”). The existing Solid Waste Facility is located on a 780-acre parcel in Old Town and has a solid waste footprint of 68 acres; the proposed Expansion would increase the solid waste footprint by approximately 54 acres. With access roads, stormwater detention ponds, landfill perimeter dikes and relocated scales and administrative building, the Expansion will have a total developed area of 74 acres.

After meetings, workshops, public hearings, and deliberations on the Application, on the basis of information, documents and testimony provided to the Planning Board in this matter, the Planning Board issues the following findings of fact and conclusions of law on each of the Criteria for Approving a Solid Waste Facility Permit or Amendment as found in the Ordinance, and for the reasons stated herein, decides as follows:

1. Criteria for Approving a Solid Waste Facility Permit or Permit Amendment (§24-12).

The Planning Board shall issue a Solid Waste Facility Permit if it determines that the Applicants meet each of the standards of this Section; provided, however, that with regard to proposed Expansion of the Juniper Ridge Landfill (formerly known as the West Old Town Landfill), the standards of this Section shall apply to both the Solid Waste Landfill in existence at the time of application and the proposed Expansion, except that the standards in *G. Waste Characteristics* (except for types of solid waste not listed in Exhibit 2), *K. Impact on Existing Uses and Scenic Character*, *L. Water Quality* and *N. Environmental Monitoring Program* shall apply only to the proposed Expansion. The Planning Board determines that the Applicant has/has not (choose one) demonstrated compliance with each of the standards of (§24-12 of the Ordinance):

A. Financial and Technical Capacity. The Applicants have demonstrated financial and technical capacity to design, construct, operate and close the Solid Waste Facility and Expansion in accordance with the requirements of this Chapter and the MDEP license.

Findings _____

Motion: _____
Second: _____
Vote: _____

B. Traffic. The Applicants have made adequate provision for safe and uncongested traffic movement of all types into, out of and within the proposed Solid Waste Facility and Expansion.

1. The haul routes within the City will safely accommodate the number, weight and types of vehicles transporting waste to and from the Facility and Expansion.

2. The Applicants have developed a plan meeting the requirements of §24-8.D of the Ordinance regarding overweight vehicles and has agreed to implement that plan. Vehicles transporting solid waste also will be licensed by the City in accordance with its requirements, when enacted, for licensing solid waste haulers.
3. The entrance and exit design for the Solid Waste Facility and Expansion will provide safe sight distances in all directions and provides for safe turning.
4. Necessary improvements to roads or intersections will be completed prior to initial operation of the Facility and Expansion or beginning operations pursuant to a Permit, Permit Amendment or Permit Renewal, unless an alternative schedule is approved by the City.
5. Major Facility and Expansion roadways will allow continuous and uninterrupted traffic movement without causing traffic to back up onto City streets posing a danger to pedestrians or other vehicles and will provide safe access for City public safety personnel and vehicles.
6. The Applicants have developed a plan to minimize litter and nuisance odor from trucks and vehicles used to transport solid waste to, or leachate from, the Facility and Expansion, and has agreed to implement that plan.

Findings _____

Motion: _____
 Second: _____
 Vote: _____

C. Utilities. The Applicants have made provisions for utilities to furnish service at the required design usage.

Findings _____

Motion: _____
 Second: _____
 Vote: _____

D. Fugitive Dust and Nuisance Odors. The Applicants have made adequate provisions for the control of fugitive dust and nuisance odors so that the Solid Waste Facility will not unreasonably adversely affect air quality beyond its property boundary.

Findings _____

Motion: _____

Second: _____

Vote: _____

E. Litter Control. The Applicants have made adequate provision for control of litter, routine maintenance, and general cleanliness of the entire Facility and Expansion site.

Findings _____

Motion: _____

Second: _____

Vote: _____

F. Vector and Bird Control. The Applicants have made adequate provision for minimizing disease vectors on the site and has developed an effective bird control plan to protect human health.

Findings _____

Motion: _____

Second: _____

Vote: _____

G. Waste Characteristics. The Applicants have developed and will implement a Hazardous Waste and Special Waste Handling and Exclusion Plan under the Maine Solid Waste Management Rules to detect, identify, handle, store and transport special and hazardous wastes. The Applicants have demonstrated the ability to comply with MDEP requirements regarding the handling of solid waste and the storage, handling, transportation and disposal of Leachate.

Findings _____

Motion: _____

Second: _____

Vote: _____

H. Noise. The Solid Waste Facility and Expansion will not generate excessive noise at the property boundary and/or at any Protected Location, as demonstrated by compliance with the following:

1. Sound Level Limits. The hourly sound levels from routine operation of a Solid Waste Facility will be less than or equal to:
 - (a) 75 dBA for daytime and nighttime hours at the Facility property boundary;
 - (b) 60 dBA for daytime hours and 50 dBA for nighttime hours at any Protected Location in an area for which the zoning, or the existing uses are not predominantly commercial or industrial; or
 - (c) 70 dBA for daytime hours and 60 dBA for nighttime hours in an area for which the zoning or existing uses are predominantly commercial or industrial.
2. Alternative levels. If the Applicants choose to demonstrate by measurement that the daytime or nighttime pre-development ambient sound environment at any protected location exceeds the daytime or nighttime limits above, by at least 5 dBA, then the daytime or nighttime limits are 5 dBA more than the measured daytime or nighttime pre-development ambient hourly sound level at the location of the measurement for the corresponding time period.
3. Existing Facilities. For any Protected Location near an existing Solid Waste Facility, the hourly sound level limit for routine operation of the existing Facility and all future expansions of that facility is the hourly sound level written above, or at the Applicants' election, the existing hourly sound level from routine operation of the Facility before any expansions plus 3 dBA.
4. Equipment used during construction and maintenance activities at the Solid Waste Facility and Expansion will comply with applicable state and federal noise regulations and include environmental noise control devices in proper working condition and maintained as originally provided with the equipment by its manufacturer.
5. Sounds associated with the following are exempt from the sound level limits of this section:
 - (a) Routine engine sounds, from registered and inspected motor vehicles, with a properly installed and maintained muffler system as required by 29-A M.R.S.A. § 1912:
 - (1) While operating on public ways, or

- (2) While entering the facility to make a delivery or pickup and that are moving, starting or stopping, but not when they are parked with the engine running in the Facility.
- (b) The unamplified human voice and other sounds of natural origin.
- (c) Emergency maintenance and repairs.
- (d) Facility and vehicle warning signals and alarms so long as used in appropriate circumstances.
- (e) Safety and protective devices installed in accordance with the devices' installation instructions.
- (f) Test operations of emergency equipment occurring in the daytime and no more frequently than once per week.
- (g) Major concrete pours that must extend after 7:00 P.M., when started before 3:00 P.M.
- (h) Snow removal, landscaping and street sweeping activities.
- (i) Sound from a regulated development received at a Protected Location when the generator of the sound has been conveyed a noise easement for that location. This exemption shall only be for the specific noise, land and term covered by the easement.

Findings _____

Motion: _____
 Second: _____
 Vote: _____

I. Air Quality. The Applicants have made adequate provision to avoid unreasonable adverse impacts on air quality, including unreasonable adverse impacts from Landfill Gases, and for monitoring Landfill Gases and reporting any management activities undertaken. The Applicants have developed adequate methods and/or systems to handle, place and address nuisance odor producing materials and processes, including enclosure of nuisance odor-producing materials and processes, and the use of technology to control, reduce or eliminate odors from the Facility and Expansion.

Findings _____

Motion: _____

Second: _____

Vote: _____

J. Liability Insurance. The Applicants, except if the Applicant is a public entity, have demonstrated that it has adequate liability insurance for construction, operation, closure and post-closure monitoring and maintenance at the Facility and Expansion by complying with MDEP License requirements, the requirements of this Chapter and the following:

1. All liability insurance policies contain the required endorsements.
2. Policies provide that the bankruptcy or insolvency of the insured does not relieve the Insurer of its obligations under the policy.
3. If a liability insurance policy is written as a “claims made” policy, an endorsement provides for a discovery period of at least twelve (12) months beyond the date of expiration or cancellation of the policy. The endorsement also provides that the underwriter will notify the public according to the requirements below:
 - (a) At least sixty (60) days prior to the date upon which the policy will expire or be cancelled, written notification shall be given to all Abutters and to the CEO that insurance for the Solid Waste Facility will expire or be cancelled. The notification shall include the date of expiration or cancellation, the fact that claims against the insured must be filed within twelve (12) months from the date of expiration or cancellation, and shall specify where and how to file claims;
 - (b) During the first, third, sixth and ninth month subsequent to the date of expiration or cancellation, the underwriter shall place in the Bangor Daily News and the Penobscot Times an advertisement designed to attract notice and containing the information specified in Paragraph 3(a), above.

Findings _____

Motion: _____

Second: _____

Vote: _____

K. Existing Uses and Scenic Character. The Applicants have made adequate provision for fitting the Solid Waste Facility or Expansion into the existing natural environment and the Solid Waste Facility or Expansion will not unreasonably adversely affect existing uses of surrounding

and neighboring properties, scenic character or other natural resources. Specifically, the Facility or Expansion may not:

- (a) Present a bird hazard to aircraft;
- (b) Have an unreasonable adverse effect on the preservation of historical sites;
- (c) Unreasonably interfere with views from established Public Viewing Areas;
- (d) Generate excessive noise at the property boundary or at any Protected Location;
or
- (e) Unreasonably adversely affect existing uses of property neighboring the proposed Solid Waste Facility or Expansion.

In determining whether the proposed Solid Waste Facility or Expansion will have an unreasonable adverse effect on the scenic character of the surrounding area, the Planning Board shall consider all relevant evidence to that effect, such as evidence that:

- (1) The design of the proposed Solid Waste Facility or Expansion takes into account the scenic character of the surrounding area.
- (2) A Solid Waste Facility or Expansion which is not in keeping with the surrounding scenic character will be located, designed and landscaped to minimize its visual impact to the fullest extent possible.
- (3) Structures will be designed and landscaped to minimize their visual impact on the surrounding area.

Findings _____

Motion: _____
Second: _____
Vote: _____

L. Water Quality. The Solid Waste Facility or Expansion will not cause an unreasonable adverse effect on surface water quality and will pose no unreasonable risk that a discharge to a significant groundwater aquifer will occur. The Applicants have demonstrated that they will comply with State and Federal permit requirements governing groundwater and surface water quality.

Findings _____

Motion: _____
Second: _____
Vote: _____

M. Compliance Record. The Applicants have demonstrated compliance with the requirements of the Maine Solid Waste Management Rules, §12 Criminal or Civil Records, by receipt of an MDEP license for the Facility and compliance with any conditions imposed by the MDEP.

Findings _____

Motion: _____
Second: _____
Vote: _____

N. Environmental Monitoring Program. The Applicants have demonstrated that the proposed environmental monitoring program for the Solid Waste Facility or Expansion will comply with applicable state and federal permit requirements governing monitoring requirements.

Findings _____

Motion: _____
Second: _____
Vote: _____

O. Hours of Operation. The hours of operation of the Solid Waste Facility and Expansion will not unreasonably adversely impact on neighboring properties, especially residential uses.

Findings _____

Motion: _____
Second: _____
Vote: _____

P. Applicable Ordinances. The Solid Waste Facility and Expansion satisfies all the applicable requirements of Chapter 24 and other applicable City ordinances.

Findings _____

Motion: _____
Second: _____
Vote: _____

2. Waivers. (§24-10). Applicants have requested the following waivers from specific sections of the Ordinance, which the Planning Board has granted/denied for reasons that follow:

Waiver Request 1: Applicant requests a waiver from Article III § 24-8-F.4 of the ordinance which provides that “ASTM E679-91 Standard Practice for Determination of Odor and Taste Thresholds by a Forced Choice Ascending Concentration Series Method of Limits, Current Ed., published Oct. 1991, shall be used for guidance on the detection, measurement and control of nuisance odors and is adopted by reference.” Applicant observes that the cited ASTM standard has been updated in 2004 (and now is ASTM E679-04), and requests approval to use the current in-place approaches to detect and measure potential nuisance odors as described in Section D Article III § 24-8-F.4 of the Application. These approaches include the use of four stationary hydrogen sulfide monitor units and/or the hand held portable Nasal Ranger® Field Olfactometer to quantify odor concentrations at the site. Applicants assert that these practices are at least as effective as ASTM E679-91 for guidance on the detection, measurement and control of nuisance odors as the Ordinance requires.

Findings _____

(If applicable, choose one)

- For waivers related to siting standards, facility design or construction*, the Applicant has presented clear and convincing evidence that the Solid Waste Facility or Expansion siting, design or operation is distinctive in some way that allows for compliance with the intent of the Ordinance despite the waiver; or
- For waivers related to operation*, the Applicant has affirmatively demonstrated that the Solid Waste Facility or Expansion will not contaminate any waters of the State, contaminate the ambient air, constitute a hazard to health and welfare, or create a nuisance, and that the proposed operation will comply with the purpose and intent of this Chapter despite the waiver.

Motion: _____
Second: _____
Vote: _____

Waiver Request 2: Article IV § 24-12-J.3 of the ordinance, which requires the liability insurance underwriter to provide notice of termination or expiration to abutters and by

publication in the *Bangor Daily News*. Underwriters have told the Applicants that the underwriters lack the capability to provide these notices, but that they will be able to notify the City, since it will be an additional insured on the policies. Applicants request that NEWSME, rather than the underwriters, be named as the entity responsible to provide the abutter and published notices required by Article IV § 24-12-J.3(a) and (b), respectively.

Findings _____

(If applicable, choose one)

- For waivers related to siting standards, facility design or construction*, the Applicant has presented clear and convincing evidence that the Solid Waste Facility or Expansion siting, design or operation is distinctive in some way that allows for compliance with the intent of the Ordinance; or
- For waivers related to operation*, the Applicant has affirmatively demonstrated that the Solid Waste Facility or Expansion will not contaminate any waters of the State, contaminate the ambient air, constitute a hazard to health and welfare, or create a nuisance, and that the proposed operation will comply with the purpose and intent of this Chapter despite the waiver.

Motion: _____

Second: _____

Vote: _____

3. Terms of a Solid Waste Facility Permit (§24-13). The Planning Board issues this Solid Waste Facility Permit to the Applicants (the “Permit Holder” upon issuance of a permit hereunder) subject to the following terms stated in the Ordinance:

- A. This Solid Waste Facility Permit shall remain in effect unless amended, revoked, or suspended. The Permit Holder shall at all times comply with applicable operating, monitoring, and reporting requirements, including annual reporting requirements and other Permit conditions.
- B. Approval to construct, alter, Expand or close a Solid Waste Facility shall not relieve the Permit Holder of the responsibility to comply fully with applicable provisions of all other parts of Chapter 24, other applicable City ordinances or state or federal law.
- C. The Permit Holder shall have a continuing duty to provide copies of all amended, modified or renewed federal and State solid waste and other pollution control permits, permit amendments, approvals and licenses. The Permit Holder shall have a continuing duty to inform the City promptly in writing of any requested Amendments, Minor Revisions or modifications as well as any notices of actual or threatened suspension or revocation of any federal and State permits, approvals and licenses, and any

communication from any federal or State official or agency alleging non-compliance with any ordinance, statute, regulation, permit, approval or license or conditions thereof.

4. Standard Conditions of Approval of a Solid Waste Facility Permit (§24-14). The Planning Board issues this Solid Waste Facility Permit subject to the following Standard Conditions of Approval stated in the Ordinance:

- A. Employees and authorized representatives of the City shall be allowed access to the premises of the Permit Holder during normal business and operating times and at such other times as the City deems necessary to perform such tests and inspections as the City deems necessary and to examine all records, including monitoring and test results, relating to compliance with the Permit and this Chapter.
- B. Approval to construct a Facility or Expansion thereof shall expire if substantial construction of the first cell of the Facility or Expansion is not commenced within three years after a Solid Waste Facility Permit is issued, or within such period as is specified in the MDEP license for the same, unless a request for an extension is granted. Requests for extensions shall state the reasons why development did not begin within the time specified in the Permit and the reasons why the Permit Holder would be able to begin the activity within three years from the granting of a Permit. If a Permit expires, the Permit Holder may reapply to the Planning Board for a new approval but may not begin construction or operation of the Solid Waste Facility or Expansion without a valid Permit. Re-applications for approval may include by reference information submitted in the initial Application, provided that such information is updated as needed to ensure it remains current. Re-applications shall be subject to any new or revised ordinances adopted or amended by the City.
- C. The granting of a Permit is based upon the reports, specifications, and plans contained in the Application and supporting documents submitted and affirmed to by the Permit Holder during the Application process. Any variation from these plans, reports, specifications, and supporting documents is subject to review and approval as a Permit Amendment prior to implementation.
- D. The Permit Holder shall secure and comply with all applicable federal, State, and local laws, licenses, permits, authorizations, conditions, agreements, and orders prior to and during construction, operation and closure of the Solid Waste Facility or Expansion.
- E. The Permit Holder shall submit all reports and information reasonably requested by the City demonstrating that the Permit Holder has complied or will comply with all terms and conditions of the Solid Waste Facility Permit. The Permit Holder shall demonstrate that preconstruction terms and conditions of the Solid Waste Facility Permit and of Chapter 24 are met before construction may begin.
- F. No Permit Holder shall knowingly hire as an officer, director, or supervisory or key employee, any person having been found guilty of a felony or of a violation of

environmental laws or rules or knowingly allow any such person to acquire an equity interest or debt liability interest in the Solid Waste Facility or Expansion without first obtaining written permission from the City.

- G. A copy of the Solid Waste Facility Permit must be included in all contract bid specifications for the Solid Waste Facility or Expansion.
- H. The Permit Holder shall maintain a Complaint Log, which shall identify, at least, the date and time of each complaint; the type and nature of the complaint; the person or persons making the complaint, including that person's address and telephone number; the method by which the complaint was received; wind direction and speed at time of complaint; the MDEP or Facility person receiving the complaint; the date and time a site visit was conducted to confirm the type and nature of complaint; the date and time the Permit Holder responded to the complaint; and a summary of any actions taken to resolve the complaint. The Permit Holder shall submit a copy of the Complaint Log for the preceding calendar quarter to the CEO within 15 days following the end of the quarter.
- I. The Permit Holder shall maintain a Material Inventory Log identifying the generator, transporter, quantity delivered (ton), waste description, Maine manifest number, Maine county and date for all material delivered to the Facility and Expansion. The Permit Holder shall submit a summary of the Material Inventory Log for the preceding calendar quarter to the CEO within 15 days following the end of the quarter.
- J. The Permit Holder shall be jointly and severally responsible for compliance with the Permit terms and all applicable City ordinances.
- K. The Permit Holder shall institute reasonable procedures and employ its best efforts to implement those procedures to require that any person operating a vehicle transporting Solid Waste or Leachate to or from the Facility and Expansion shall have a current and valid Waste Hauler's License from the City when and if such license is required by the City; and that Solid Waste shall be transported to, and Leachate waste transported from, the Facility and Expansion in completely enclosed containers or vehicles to prevent litter or spillage on City streets and the release of nuisance odors during transport.
- L. The Permit Holder shall maintain an Over Weight Truck Log which shall identify by day each overweight vehicle, and set forth at a minimum, Maine manifest number, quantity of waste delivered (ton), truck number, waste description and source, and summarize warnings or other action taken. The Permit Holder shall submit a copy of the Over Weight Truck Log for the preceding calendar quarter to the CEO within 30 days of the end of the quarter.
- M. Failure to comply with the Terms of a Solid Waste Facility Permit, including but not limited to these Standard Conditions and any Special Conditions imposed, shall be considered a violation of the Permit and of this Chapter.

N. The Permit Holder shall notify the CEO within 24 hours of being informed of or receiving a notice of violation at the Facility and Expansion by the MDEP or a federal agency. The Permit Holder shall take immediate measures to remediate the violation and submit a written response to the CEO within five working days describing in detail the corrective actions taken to mediate the violation or a statement outlining the basis on which the Permit Holder will contest the notice of violation. If the Permit Holder contests the notice of violation, the Permit Holder shall keep the CEO informed of any enforcement proceedings and of the result thereof.

The Planning Board attaches the following additional conditions of approval to the Solid Waste Facility Permit:

5. Additional Conditions of Approval (as appropriate, § 24-15 C):

1. _____

Motion: _____

Second: _____

Vote: _____

2. _____

Motion:

Second:

Vote:

3. _____

Motion:

Second:

Vote:

4. _____

Motion:

Second:

Vote:

5. _____

Motion: _____

Second: _____

Vote: _____

III. Decision

Based upon the Findings of Fact and Conclusions of Law stated above, the Applicants have/have not (**choose one**) demonstrated that the Expansion will not threaten public health, human safety or the environment or create a nuisance and, therefore, the Planning Board hereby (**choose one**):

- Approves** the Application, subject to the waivers, terms, and conditions identified above, as applicable, and issues this Solid Waste Facility Permit;

or

- Denies** the Application and does not issue this Solid Waste Facility Permit.

Motion by: _____

Seconded by: _____

Vote: _____

Date: _____

By: THE CITY OF OLD TOWN PLANNING BOARD

Phil Dunn

Sandra Russell

Theodore W. Shina, Chair

Russell Sossong

Glenna Washburn

An aggrieved party may appeal the Planning Board's decision to the Maine Superior Court as provided by § 24-26 of the Ordinance.

APPENDIX A

Abutter List

(See attached copy of Appendix C-4 of Application)